FLORIDA

## ANIMAL CREMATORY



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

<b>INSPECTION TYPE:</b> ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)
AIRS ID#: 0950121 DATE: <u>04/17/07</u>	ARRIVE: <u>9:00 AM</u> DEPART: <u>10:15 AM</u>
FACILITY NAME: PINE CASTLE PET CREMATO	DRY
FACILITY LOCATION: 460 WEST LANDST	REET
ORLANDO 32809	
<b>RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL:</b> JAMES CRAWFORD	<b>PHONE:</b> (407)851-0993
CONTACT NAME: Terry McGlashan	<b>PHONE:</b> (407)851-6292
REMITTANCE YEAR: 2007 ENTI-	TLEMENT PERIOD: 4/27/2002 (effective date)       / 4/27/2007 (end date)
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS	(check ☑ only one box)
IN COMPLIANCE IMINOR Non-CO	MPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE
PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIR</u> (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	<u>REMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C.
	🗌 Yes 🖂 No
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted during t	his site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter
3. In order to demonstrate individual source comp	liance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60
	ssion, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date? (Rule
4. In order to demonstrate individual source comp	liance were the remaining applicable standards testing
	tification form submission? (Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) Yes No or below the requirements of 100 parts per million by
volume, dry basis, corrected to 7% O <sub>2</sub> on an ho	ourly average basis and tested according to EPA Method
	Iethod 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?         Yes         No
c) Particulate matter emissions test with results	s equal to or below the requirements of 0.080 grains per
	ed to 7% O <sub>2</sub> and tested according to EPA Method 5
	$\square$
5. Was all emissions testing conducted with the so	ource operating at the manufacturers recommended
capacity?	ource operating at the manufacturers recommended
<ul><li>capacity?</li><li>6. Was CO &amp; PM compliance demonstrated by su</li><li>7. Was the Department notified at least 15 days pr</li></ul>	ource operating at the manufacturers recommended
<ul> <li>capacity?</li> <li>6. Was CO &amp; PM compliance demonstrated by su</li> <li>7. Was the Department notified at least 15 days pr</li> <li>8. Was the required test report filed with the Depa</li> </ul>	ource operating at the manufacturers recommended

## PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))

1. Is there <b>Continuous Emissions Monitoring System</b> (CEMS) equipment installed on each unit to record		
primary and secondary chambers where there is a 1.0 second gas residence time in the secondary chamber co		
accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?		∐ No
a) Do temperature probes seem to be properly placed?	⊠Yes	No No
b) Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection for at least two years following the re-	cording o	f such
measurements, maintenance, reports and records?		
1) All measurements (including CEMS)	⊠Yes	No No
2) Monitoring device	Yes	No No
3) Performance Testing Measurements	Yes	No
4) CEMS Performance Evaluation	Yes	☐ No
5) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks	Yes	
6) Adjustments	Tes	
<ul><li>7) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices</li></ul>	Tes	
8) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices		
	les	
2. Was this crematory unit constructed: (check only one $\square$ box)		
a) BEFORE August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, continue on to #3 and skip #4)		
b) ON or AFTER August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, skip #3 and continue on to #4)		
3. If constructed <b><u>BEFORE</u></b> August 30, 1989 is the:	<b></b>	_
a) secondary chamber combustion zone providing at least a 1.0 second gas residence time @ 1600°F?	⊠Yes	No No
b) actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than $1400^{\circ}F$		
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	⊠Yes	No No
c) cremation in the primary chamber begun after the secondary chamber combustion zone temperature		
is equal to or greater than 1400°F?	⊠Yes	No No
d) required monitoring equipment installed and operational, and providing continuous monitoring to		
record the temperature at the point or beyond where 1.0 second gas residence time is obtained in the		
secondary chamber combustion zone according to the manufacturer's instructions?	⊠Yes	No
4. If constructed ON or AFTER August 30, 1989 is the:		
a) volume in the secondary combustion zone sufficient to provide at least a 1.0 second gas residence tin	ne	
@ 1800° F?	Yes	No No
b) the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than <b>1600°F</b>		
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	Yes	□ No
c) secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than <b>1600°F</b> before the crematic		
process begins in the primary chamber?		□ No
5. Are appropriate leak-proof containers containing no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chlorinated		
plastics used during the cremation of dead animals?	$\nabla \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{v}}$	No No
	⊠Yes	
a) If the answer to question 4 above is YES, is certifying documentation from the manufacturer that the		
are composed of 0.5% or less by weight chlorinated plastics kept on file at the site for the duration of		
their use and for at least two years after their use?	⊠Yes	└ No
b) If plastic bags are used for the cremation of animals are they non-chlorinated and no less than 3 mils	<b></b>	_
thick?	⊠Yes	∐ No
c) Are dead animals, which have been used for medical or commercial experimentation, or other		
materials, including biomedical wastes (Rule 62-210.200, F.A.C.), incinerated at this location?	☐ Yes	🖂 No
6. During this review period, was the largest batch load cremated 500 pounds per hour or less?	⊠Yes	No No
7. Have all crematory operators been trained and certified by a Department-approved training program?	⊠Yes	No No
a) Are copies of the training certificates all crematory operators kept on file at the facility for the duration	on	
of the operator's employment & for an additional two years after termination of employment?	⊠Yes	No No

PART IV: <u>SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES</u> – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C. A. <u>New or Modified Process Equipment</u>		
1. Since the last inspection has there been		
a) installation of any new process equipment?	Yes	No
b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?	Yes	⊠No
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most recent notification form?	Yes	No
d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete		
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, F.A.C.) to the appropriate DEP or local program office?	Yes	No
2. If a crematory unit has been modified to the extent that a Department air construction permit was required, have all operators been retrained to operate the modified unit?	Yes	No
<ul><li>3. In the case of new or modified equipment, where a Department air construction permit was required, has the owner submitted copies of all operator training certificates?a) submitted within the 15 day required window following the training?</li></ul>	☐Yes ☐Yes	□No □No

Norma Ali

4/17/07

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

Date of Inspection

4/07

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

**COMMENTS:** I met with Bruno Ferraro from Grove Scientific & Engineering (Consultant) and Terry McGlashan from Pine Castle Pet Cremation Service (Operator). A VE test was conducted for 30 minutes. A 73 Lb dog was cremated. No visible emissions or objectionable odors were detected during today's test. Observed opacity = 0%. Temperature charts were checked from April 2006 to present. All temperatures indicated a secondary temperature greater than 1600F as required by the permit. During today's test I observed temperature of 1710F. Operator certification was observed for Terry McGlashan.